



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Norman H. Bangerter
Governor

Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director


Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

0074

November 13, 1992

TO: Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Priscilla Burton, Senior Soils Reclamation Specialist 

RE: Incorporation of Amendment ACT/007/005-92C and Significant Revision ACT/007/005-91-1 into the submittal received 10/5/92 designated ACT/007/005-92K, Skyline Mine. Utah Fuel Co. ACT/007/005. Carbon Co. Utah. Folder #2.

SUMMARY:

The Division has been holding on to information submitted by Skyline in the past year, waiting for additional copies to be distributed to other Agencies. The distribution was further complicated by the Skyline Permit renewal and revision of the MRP. A response to the Division Order written on the MRP has incorporated most, but not all of those changes.

DISCUSSION:

Amendment 92C was received as a response to Division Orders 92A and 92B. The response was approved and the Division was waiting for additional copies to distribute to other Agencies. The copies received in September did not incorporate the information which was approved. The present submittal (10/5/92) has incorporated all of the information from Amendment 92C.

Significant Revision 91-1 involved major changes in the Mining and Reclamation Plan document. Most of these changes to the narrative and maps have been incorporated into the submittal received 10/5/92. However, some details concerning acreages reported on pages 2-98, 2-101, 3-21, and 4-8 were inadvertently left out. Page 4-24 contained detail on reclamation of the conveyor tower footings. This detail has been omitted from the present submittal and should be included.

Other portions of Significant Revision 91-1 which were not included in the 10/5/92 submittal

Page 2
11/13/92
ACT/007/005

are the Vol A-2 sections, a PHC modification, and new design details for ditch DD12. The design of DD12 does not appear to fall into the 1992 MRP Section 6 sequence.

CONCLUSION:

These details are difficult to convey in correspondence. I will be conducting a Complete Inspection at the mine site next week. At that time, I will show the pages which require correction to Mr. Zobell, including the designs for DD12.

None of Amendment 92C will require forwarding to other Agencies.

My recommendation is to forward the Vol A-2 and PHC information while waiting for the minor corrections in pages 2-98, 2-101, 3-21, 4-8, and 4-24 and clarification of the DD12 information.

These remaining pages from earlier approvals could be forwarded with the 10/5/92 submittal when it is approved.